

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,935	11/12/2003	Mark M. Kotik	PREDYN-44227	9890	
26252	7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER		
	AUERSFELD LOWRY	NGUYEN, KI	NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D		
SUITE 1650	)GA AVENUE )	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/7	12,935	KOTIK ET AL.	(hm
	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit	
		l l	perly D. Nguyen	2876	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication appears o	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	\$\$
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within t statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a re he statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON' he application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.				erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn fro		*	
Applicat	ion Papers	•			
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are				·
	Applicant may not request that any obj				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	-			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have y documents have s of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in A cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/05.		Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/712,935

Art Unit: 2876

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-22 and 24-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by De La Huerga (US 2002/0084904).

Re claims 1-3, 5, 15, 17-21, 30, 32-35, 37-42, 46-47: De La Huerga teaches an identification band (figs. 1-2), including:

an elongated flexible strap (bracelet 104) having a head end and a tail end (first and second ends 106 and 108 in fig. 2; paragraph 103);

a communication circuit (figs. 3-5) carried by the strap (paragraphs 38, 107), the communication circuit including a pair of electronic coupling elements (1311, 1313 in fig. 17) disposed generally at the strap head and tail ends (paragraphs 161, 103); and

an adhesive for securing the strap head and tail ends (106, 108) in overlapping relation with the pair of electronic coupling elements in mutually coupled relation, to configure the strap into a closed loop shape of selected circumferential size ("...the ends may be secured via adhesive, melting, crimping, etc"; paragraph 103) ("...the glue strips 1319 secure the ends together..." paragraphs 162, 193-195);

Application/Control Number: 10/712,935

Art Unit: 2876

at least one of the electronic coupling elements being physically altered in response to attempted forced separation of the adhesively secured strap head and tail ends to correspondingly alter at least one characteristic of the communication circuit ("...the strap cannot be broken or cut without opening the short circuit..." paragraph 50) ("...If the strap is no longer attached to the patient one of several different functions occur, the several different functions including: erasing the memory, prohibiting reprogramming and access to the memory..." paragraph 59), ("The memory device is integrally embedded in the strap and cannot be removed from the strap without destroying the device." paragraph 38) (paragraphs 38, 51-61, 103-117, 149, 162 and 179-181)..

Re claims 4, 22, 36: De La Huerga teaches the bracelet (104) is formed of a tear resistant plastic material, which is a dielectric material.

Re claims 6: De La Huerga teaches "... the surfaces 1325 and 1112 are pressed together so that the glue strips 1319 secure ends together..." (paragraphs 162, 228-229), which is the glue strip is a pressure sensitive adhesive.

Re claims 7, 12-13: De La Huerga teaches, for example, "the plates 358 and 360 overlay and are separated by a thin layer of plastic band material there between..." (paragraphs 228-229), wherein the thin layer serves as a protective film mounted over at least a portion of the communication circuit.

Re claims 8, 24: De La Huerga teaches the identification device (fig. 15) having a tranmitter, an RF antenna, etc, to communicate with a remote reader (300 in fig. 6) (paragraph 223).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,935

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 9, 14, 16, 26, 29, 31, 43: De La Huerga teaches "... when ends 354 and 356 are secured (e.g., glued via an adhesive) together, plates 358 and 360 are close enough to form a capacitive coupling such that a complete loop is formed by the device components including the capacitive coupling between plates 358 and 360..." (paragraphs 228-229 and 161-164, figs. 34-35), wherein the capacitive coupling plates 358 and 360 serve as a pair of capacitor plates.

Re claims 10-11, 27-28, 44-45: De La Huerga teaches one of the capacitor plates has a predetermined area, and wherein the other of the capacitor plates has a length greater than the length of the one capacitor plate (figs. 17 and 19-20; paragraphs 161-1634).

Re claim 25: De La Huerga teaches the electronic coupling elements comprising conductive films printed onto the flexible strap (see 116 in fig. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De La Huerga in view of Harilela (US 4,862,436). The teachings of De La Huerga have been discussed above.

De La Huerga fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest a peel-off strip protectively covering the patch.

Harilela teaches a strap (14) having pads (10, 11) for fastening the strap to a wearer's wrist, wherein each pad (10, 11) has an adhesive backing with a peel-off protection layer 20 (figs. 4-5; col. 2, lines 38-55).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the well-known adhesive backing with a peel-off protection layer as taught by Harilela to the teachings of De La Huerga due to the fact that one does not have to retreat a glue and/or adhesive means to secure the two ends of the wrist strap as taught by De La Huerga but rather peel off the protection layer and secure the two ends of the wrist strap as pre-made by the manufacturer. Furthermore, De La Huerga teachaes the means (e.g., glue or an adhesive to secure the two ends of the wrist strap), therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the necessity to employ the peel-off protection layer to protect the adhesive/glue layer from being damaged by the dust, scratches, or being dried-up, etc.

#### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,935 Page 6

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KDN

April 29, 2005